Federal Family Educational Loan Program, 34 CFR part 682. New Restrictions on Lobbying, 34 CFR part 82.

Government-wide Debarment and Suspension (Non-procurement) and Government-wide Requirements for Drug-Free Workplace (Grants), 34 CFR part 85.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information concerning ELO Participation Agreement submissions, contact Sandra Donelson, Financial Management Specialist, Campus-Based Financial Operations Branch, Institutional Financial Management Division, Office of Postsecondary Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W. (Room 4714, ROB–3), Washington, DC 20202–5452. Telephone: 202–708–9751.

For technical assistance concerning the Federal Perkins Loan Program ELO, contact Susan Morgan, Chief, Campus-Based Loan Programs Section, or Sylvia R. Ross, Program Specialist, Policy Development Division, Student Financial Assistance Programs, Office of Postsecondary Education, U.S. Department of Education, Telephone: 202–708–8242. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Numbers: 84.038, Federal Perkins Loan Program)

Dated: July 11, 1995.

### David A. Longanecker,

Assistant Secretary for Postsecondary Education.

[FR Doc. 95–17454 Filed 7–14–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER95-144-001, et al.]

### Allegheny Generating Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

July 11, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

### 1. Allegheny Generating Company

[Docket No. ER95-144-001]

Take notice that on June 8, 1995, Allegheny Generating Company tendered for filing its refund report in the above-referenced docket. Comment date: July 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

# 2. Smithfield Foods, Inc. v. Carolina Power & Light Company

[Docket No. EL95-60-000]

Take notice that on June 28, 1995, Smithfield Foods, Inc. (Smithfield), tendered for filing a complaint against Carolina Power & Light (CP&L) Company stating that certain rates charged by CP&L are unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, and anticompetitive.

Comment date: August 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 3. Katex Energy Ventures, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-295-002]

Take notice that on June 19, 1995, Katex Energy Ventures, Inc. tendered for filing a Notice of Secession for power marketing waivers, blanket authorizations, and order approving rate schedule.

Comment date: July 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 4. Conowingo Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-555-000]

Take notice that on June 27, 1995, Conowingo Power Company (COPCO) tendered for filing a letter stating that COPCO and Delmarva Power & Light Company (Delmarva) were merged on June 19, 1995. COPCO states that the instant docket relates to the cancellation of two contracts between Delmarva and COPCO to be effective as of the date of the merger.

Comment date: July 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

# 5. Southwestern Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER95-1069-000]

Take notice that Southwestern Public Service Company (Southwestern) on July 3, 1995, tendered for filing a proposed amendment to its rate schedule for service to Central Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Central Valley).

The proposed amendment reflects changes in the maximum commitment at several delivery points, removes a delivery point, and adds an additional delivery point for service to Central Valley.

Comment date: July 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 6. Premier Enterprises, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1123-000]

Take notice that on July 5, 1995, Premier Enterprises, Inc. tendered for filing an amendment to its May 31, 1995, filing in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: July 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

# 7. Southwestern Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER95-1129-000]

Take notice that on July 6, 1995, Southwestern Public Service Company tendered for filing an amendment to its May 31, 1995 filing in the abovereferenced docket.

Comment date: July 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 8. NAP Trading and Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1278-000]

Take notice that on June 28, 1995, NAP Trading and Marketing, Inc. (NAP) petitioned the Commission for acceptance of NAP Rate Schedule FERC No. 1; the granting of certain blanket approvals, including the authority to sell electricity at market-based rates; and the waiver of certain Commission Regulations.

NAP intends to engage in wholesale electric power and energy purchases and sales as a marketer. NAP is not in the business of generating, transmitting, or distributing electric power. NAP is a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of North American Power Group, Ltd, which, through other subsidiaries, owns and operates non-utility generating facilities and related business ventures in the United States.

Comment date: July 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 9. Montana Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-1294-000]

Take notice that on June 30, 1995, Montana Power Company (Montana) tendered for filing a Notice of Termination of Montana Rate Schedule FPC No. 3 between Montana and Idaho Power Company.

Comment date: July 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 10. Market Responsive Energy, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1295-000]

Take notice that on June 30, 1995, Market Responsive Energy, Inc. tendered for filing a petition for waivers, blanket approvals, disclaimer of jurisdiction and order accepting rate schedule.

Comment date: July 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

#### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–17449 Filed 7–14–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

## [Docket No. CP94-109-002, et al.]

# Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corporation, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

July 10, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

# 1. Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corporation

[Docket No. CP94-109-002]

Take notice that on July 3, 1995, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation ("Transco"), Post Office Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251, pursuant to and in accordance with Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act ("NGA") and Part 157 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's ("Commission") regulations, filed an application in Docket No. CP94-109-002 to amend the certificate of public convenience and necessity issued by the Commission on December 21, 1994 in Docket No. CP94-109-000 ("December 21 Order") authorizing Transco's 1995/ 1996 Southeast Expansion Project ("SE95/96"). Specifically, Transco requests authorization to (i) increase the certificated horsepower of the compressor additions authorized in the December 21 Order at Transco's Station Nos. 90, 100 and 150, (ii) increase,

commencing with SE95/96 Phase II service, the firm transportation capacity under the project from 165,000 Mcf/d to 170,000 Mcf/d as a result of such increased horsepower, and (iii) reduce the certificated initial rate for Phase II service to reflect such increased firm transportation capacity under the project.

Transco states that its SE95/96 certificate includes the authorization to construct and operate 12,600 horsepower compressor additions at Transco's Station Nos. 90 and 150. Transco states that it contemplated installing compressor units at those stations which equalled 12,600 horsepower because those units were commercially available at the time of its original application. However, Transco states that the units now available from the manufacturer in this size range are rated at 14,100 horsepower, and, therefore, the manufacturers have replaced the specified units with 14,100 horsepower units. Thus, Transco will install the 14,100 horsepower units at Station Nos. 90 and 150 instead of the 12,600 horsepower units. Transco states that until further certificate authority is received, it will operate the 14,100 horsepower units at the 12,600 horsepower level certificated by the Commission in the December 21 Order. Transco states that limiting the operation of those units to the 12,600 horsepower level, however, will not take advantage of their full operational capability. Therefore, Transco requests that it be permitted to operate the units up to the 14,100 horsepower level commencing with the placement into service of Phase II of the project.

Transco further states that at Station No. 100, Transco is currently authorized by the SE95/96 certificate to install and operate 6,500 horsepower of additional compression in Phase II of the project. Transco now proposes to increase that certificated addition by 2,000 horsepower, to 8,500 horsepower. Transco states that it will accomplish this 8,500 horsepower increase at Station No. 100 by (i) derating existing compressor units 3, 4, 5 and 9 at the station by an aggregate amount of 4,000 horsepower, and (ii) installing an additional 12,500 NEMA rated horsepower unit. Transco's determination to derate the existing units is based on actual operating data for the units and the removal of steam injection from unit 5 due to water shortages experienced at the station. The 12,500 horsepower unit is the size unit that was furnished by the manufacturer. Accordingly, Transco requests that it be permitted to make these Phase II modifications at Station

No. 100 and install and operate 8,500 horsepower of additional compression in lieu of the 6,500 horsepower addition certificated in the December 21 Order.

Transco states that the horsepower increases and compressor modifications proposed herein will be performed in compliance with the Commission's environmental requirements, including the environmental conditions set forth in Appendix B of the December 21 Order.

Transco also requests authorization to increase the firm transportation capacity under SE95/96 from 165,000 Mcf/d to 170,000 Mcf/d commencing with Phase II service. Transco states that this additional 5,000 Mcf/d of firm transportation capacity will be created as a result of the compressor modifications described above. The additional capacity will extend from the main line interconnect with the Mobile Bay Lateral to points upstream of Transco's Station No. 140. Pursuant to the terms of the Precedent Agreements executed with the SE95/96 shippers, Transco has executed letter agreements with 12 of the SE95/96 shippers for such additional firm transportation service. Those letter agreements require Transco and the shippers to execute, within 30 days after Transco's receipt and acceptance of the authorizations requested, a restated Rate Schedule FT Service Agreement for service under SE95/96 providing for the increased level of service.

Transco further states that the initial monthly reservation rate of \$9.86 per Mcf certificated by the Commission for Phase II service was based in part on billing determinants of 165,000 Mcf/d times 12. As a result of the 5,000 Mcf/d of increased firm transportation service that Transco will be able to render under SE95/96 beginning with Phase II, the billing determinants for Phase II service will be increased to 170,000 Mcf/d times 12. Based on these revised billing determinants and the estimated costs, rate design and cost of service factors approved by the Commission in the December 21 Order, Transco requests approval of a revised initial monthly reservation rate of \$9.57 per Mcf for Phase II service.

Comment date: July 31, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

### 2. Pacific Interstate Offshore Company

[Docket No. CP95-588-000]

Take notice that on June 29, 1995, Pacific Interstate Offshore Company (PIOC), located at 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 5200, Los Angeles, CA 90071– 2006, filed in Docket No. CP95–588– 000, an application pursuant to Section